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## Outline

(1) Neural Network

- First Generation (ANN, Perceptron)
- Second Generation (MLP, Back-propagation)
- Thrid Generation (ReLU)


## (2) Convolutional Neural Network

- Convolution layer
- ReLU layer
- Pooling layer
- Fully Connected layer
(3) Painting Style Transfer
- VGGnet
- Algorithm and Loss function
- Result


## First Generation

## Artificial Neural Network : ANN

At 1943 McCulloch, Warren S., and Walter Pitts suggested


- Mimic the human neural structure by connecting switches


## First Generation

## Perceptron

In 1958 Frank Rosenblatt suggested Linear Classifier.


- Expected computer can do things human can do better at that time.
- Basic structure is not changed until now.
- Using sigmoid with Activation function. (Make output $\in[0,1]$ )


## First Generation

## Problem

In 1969 Marvin Minsky, Seymour Papert proved limitations of perceptron.


It can't solve XOR problem even.

## Second Generation

## Multi-Layer Perception : MLP

Make neurons deeper by make hidden layers of perception


- Solve the Non-Linear problems with multiple linear classifier.
- Too many parameters!!
- Needs parameter controller.


## Second Generation

## Back-propagation

Feedback algorithm controls the weights of neural network.


- $i$ : input layer
- $h$ : hidden layer
- $o$ : output layer
- $w_{i j}$ : weight connected to the neuron i to j .


## Second Generation



- out: Output value of a neuron.
- in : sum of weighted output of connected neurons.
(in $=\sum w *$ out $)$
- $t$ : Target value (Choose yourself!)
- Sigmoid activation function. Ex) out $t_{h 3}=\sigma\left(i n_{h 3}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-i n_{h 3}}}$


## Second Generation

Error with Sum of square (Euclidean Distance)

$$
E=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{5}-\text { out }_{o 5}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{6}-\text { out }_{o 6}\right)^{2}
$$

We want to see how much each weights influence to $E \Rightarrow$ Calculate $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{i j}}$ Example) Calculate $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{35}}$ with Chain-rule

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{35}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial o u t_{o 5}} * \frac{\partial o u t_{o 5}}{\partial i n_{o 5}} * \frac{\partial i n_{o 5}}{\partial w_{35}}
$$



## Second Generation

First,

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{5}-\text { out }_{o 5}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{6}-\text { out }_{o 6}\right)^{2}\right]=\text { out }_{o 5}-t_{5}
$$

Second,

$$
\frac{\partial o u t_{05}}{\partial i n_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial \sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)}{\partial i n_{o 5}}
$$

## Second Generation

The sigmoid function $\sigma(x)$ is

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-a x}}
$$

The differential of sigmoid $\sigma(x)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{a e^{-a x}}{\left(1+e^{-a x}\right)^{2}} \\
& =a \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-a x}\right)} \frac{e^{-a x}}{\left(1+e^{-a x}\right)} \\
& =a \frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-a x}\right)}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(1+e^{-a x}\right)}\right) \\
& =a \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

## Second Generation

First,

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{5}-\text { out }_{o 5}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{6}-\text { out }_{o 6}\right)^{2}\right]=\text { out }_{o 5}-t_{5}
$$

Second,

$$
\frac{\partial o u t_{05}}{\partial i n_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial \sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)}{\partial i n_{o 5}}=\sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)\right)=\text { out }_{o 5}\left(1-o u t_{o 5}\right)
$$

## Second Generation

First,

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial o u t_{o 5}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{5}-\text { out }_{o 5}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{6}-\text { out }_{o 6}\right)^{2}\right]=\text { out }_{o 5}-t_{5}
$$

Second,

$$
\frac{\partial o u t_{05}}{\partial i n_{o 5}}=\frac{\partial \sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)}{\partial i n_{o 5}}=\sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)\left(1-\sigma\left(i n_{o 5}\right)\right)=\text { out }_{o 5}\left(1-o u t_{o 5}\right)
$$

Third,

$$
\frac{\partial i n_{o 5}}{\partial w_{35}}=\frac{\partial\left({ }^{o u t_{h 3}} * w_{35}\right)}{\partial w_{35}}=\text { out }_{h 3}
$$

Finally,

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{35}}=\left(\text { out }_{o 5}-t_{5}\right)\left(1-\text { out }_{o 5}\right) o u t_{o 5} o u t_{h 3}
$$

Beautifully, all parameters are already calculated and what we have to do is easy math.

## Second Generation

Then, how to update weights?

$$
w:=w-r \frac{\partial E}{\partial w}, \mathrm{r} \text { is constant called learning rate. }
$$

So, updated $w_{35}$ is

$$
w_{35}:=w_{35}-r\left(\text { out }_{o 5}-t_{5}\right)\left(1-\text { out }_{o 5}\right) \text { out }_{o 5} \text { out }_{h 3}
$$

This method called Gradient descent.


## Second Generation

## Gradient descent

Simply, moving to orthogonal direction from contour line.
Why the direction to orthogonal? At minimum point of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$,

$$
\nabla f(x, y)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)=0
$$

Assume direction of contour line is $(a, b)$. Then using Tayler series, derive orthogonal direction by linearize the contour line.

$$
f\left(x_{1}+a, y_{1}+b\right) \simeq f\left(x_{1}, y_{2}\right)+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} a+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} b+\ldots
$$

The condition of $(a, b)$ that minimize error is

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} a+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} b=0
$$

## Second Generation

If $a=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ and $b=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$.

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} a+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} b=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)=0
$$

In addition, the inner product of gradient and $(a, b)$ is

$$
(\nabla f(x, y)) \cdot(a, b)=\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y},-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)=0
$$

It means the vector orthogonal to contour line is gradient itself. And if we track the gradient until it is 0 , we can find minimum point.
*Caution it can be a saddle point not minimum but I don't want to discuss in this time because I don't know.

## Second Generation

## Problems

- Gradient descent is bad at non-convex function, but sigmoid is non-convex function.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{\prime \prime}(x)=a^{2} \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))(1-2 \sigma(x)) \\
a^{2} \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x)) \geq 0 \text { but }-1 \leq 1-2 \sigma(x) \leq 1
\end{gathered}
$$

- Cost of back-propagation is Big.
- Vanishing Gradient Problem.


## Second Generation

## Cost of back-propagation.

Cost is big at shallow layer.
For example,

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{13}}=\frac{\partial E}{\partial o u t_{h 3}} * \frac{\partial o u t_{h 3}}{\partial i n_{h 3}} * \frac{\partial i n_{h 3}}{\partial w_{13}}
$$

$$
=\left[\left(o u t_{o 5}-t_{5}\right)\left\{\text { out }_{o 5}\left(1-\text { out }_{o 5}\right)\right\} w_{35}+\left(o u t_{o 5}-t_{5}\right)\left\{o u t_{o 6}\left(1-o_{o u t}\right)\right\} w_{36}\right]
$$

$$
*\left(1-\text { out }_{h 3}\right) * \text { out }_{h 3} * \text { out }_{i 1}
$$

Of course! since it is chain-rule algorithm, it is easier than looks like. However if we have very big network?

## Second Generation

## Vanishing Gradient Problem

Because of sigmoid function, gradient is going to 0 while repeat Back-propagation.


## Thrid Generation



## Rectified Linear Unit : ReLU

- Convex : good at gradient descent.
- Cost of Back-propagation is decrease. (since $f^{\prime}(x)=1$ or 0 always)
- Safe from Vanishing Gradient Problem

All problems are from bad activation function.

## Thrid Generation

Table 3: Non-linearities tested.

| Name | Formula | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| none | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ | - |
| sigmoid | $\mathrm{y}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ | 1986 |
| tanh | $\mathrm{y}=\frac{e^{2 x}-1}{e^{2 x}+1}$ | 1986 |
| ReLU | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, 0)$ | 2010 |
| (centered) SoftPlus | $\mathrm{y}=\ln \left(e^{x}+1\right)-\ln 2$ | 2011 |
| LReLU | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, \alpha \mathrm{x}), \alpha \approx 0.01$ | 2011 |
| maxout | $\mathrm{y}=\max \left(W_{1} \mathrm{x}+b_{1}, W_{2} \mathrm{x}+b_{2}\right)$ | 2013 |
| APL | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, 0)+\sum_{s=1}^{S} a_{i}^{s} \max \left(0,-x+b_{i}^{s}\right)$ | 2014 |
| VLReLU | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, \alpha \mathrm{x}), \alpha \in 0.1,0.5$ | 2014 |
| RReLU | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, \alpha \mathrm{x}), \alpha=\operatorname{random}(0.1,0.5)$ | 2015 |
| PReLU | $\mathrm{y}=\max (\mathrm{x}, \alpha \mathrm{x}), \alpha$ is learnable | 2015 |
| ELU | $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$, if $\mathrm{x} \geq 0$, else $\alpha\left(e^{x}-1\right)$ | 2015 |

Notice at gap between tanh and ReLU.

## Section 2. Convolutional Neural Network

- Convolution layer
- ReLU layer
- Pooling layer
- Fully Connected layer


## Convolution layer

## 2D Convolution

Nothing specially different from 1D convolution.


- Input size $=7 x 7 x 1$
- Filter size $=3 \times 3$
- The number of filter $=1$
- Stride $=1$


## Convolution layer

## What is the filter do?

Assume weights are already trained.

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Pixel representation of filter


Curve detection filter and its visualization.

## Filter



Original image


Visualization of the filter on the image


Visualization of the receptive field

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |

Pixel representation of the receptive field

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Pixel representation of filter

```
Multiplication and Summation = (50*30)+(50*30)+(50*30)+(20*30)+(50*30)=6600 (A large number!)
```

If Original image has similar shape at part, the result of Mult and Sum has a large number.

## Filter



Visualization of the filter on the image

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 25 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Pixel representation of receptive field

$*$| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Pixel representation of filter

In contrast, If not, the result has a small number.

Trained filter can give a score for which feature exist or not!!

## Filter

## input neurons



0000000000000000000000000000
Visualization of $5 \times 5$ filter convolving around an input volume and producing an activation map
Each score is grouped together and forms layer by convolution.

## Padding

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  | original $6 \times 6$ |  |  | 0 |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| final $8 \times 8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Attach zeros around the layer. (Zero-padding)
- Prevent from size decreasing while convolution.
- To catch the features at edge more detail.


## Convolution layer

## Convolution

$\mathrm{W}=$ width, $\mathrm{H}=$ Height, $\mathrm{D}=$ Depth, $\mathrm{P}=$ Padding, $\mathrm{S}=$ stride .
$\mathrm{F}=$ Filters W and $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}=$ Number of filters.


## ReLU layer

## ReLU



- Zero OR Itself.
- Used to give Non-linearity and threshold.
- No parameter. No size change.


## ReLU layer

## Why we have to give a Non-linearity.

Experimental result is given.


Figure 2: Top-1 accuracy gain over ReLU in the CaffeNet-128 architecture. MaxS stands for "maxout, same compexity", MaxW - maxout, same width, CSoftplus - centered softplus. The baseline, i.e. ReLU, accuracy is $47.1 \%$.

With Image.net classification test.

## Pooling layer



- Usually, using Max-Pooling. (If higher value is important)
- No depth change.
- Reduce Complexity!!!!!!(Down-sampling) $\frac{1}{4}=75 \%$ reduced.
- Not Recessary. (But Recommended)

$$
W_{2}=\frac{W-F}{S}+1=\frac{224-2}{2}+1=112
$$

## Fully Connected layer


convolution + pooling layers


- Make 2D layer to 1D line layer (Make layer to vector.)
- Used to compare with target.
- Making method is not only one.

Section 3. Painting Style Transfer

- VGGnet
- Algorithm and Loss function
- Result


## VGGnet



- $F_{\text {conv }}=3(3 * 3 * D), S_{\text {conv }}=1$, Padding $=1$
- $F_{\text {Pool }}=2(2 * 2 * D), S_{\text {pool }}=2$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{W-F_{\text {conv }}+2 P}{S_{\text {conv }}}+1=\frac{224-3+2 * 1}{1}+1=224 \\
\frac{W-F_{\text {conv }}}{S_{\text {pool }}}+1=\frac{224-2}{2}+1=112
\end{gathered}
$$

## Painting style transfer



- Weights must be trained already.
- $a=$ style image, $p=$ content image
- $x=$ generated image.


## Painting style transfer

- $N_{l}=$ Number of feature maps of $l$ th layer
- $M_{l}=$ Size of feature map of $l$ th layer
- $F^{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{N_{l} * M_{l}}$
- $F_{i j}^{l}$ is the activation of the $i^{t h}$ filter at position $j$ in layer $l$
- $P_{i j}^{l}$ is same with $F_{i j}^{l}$ but it is from content image.(conv4_2)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {content }}(\vec{p}, \vec{x}, l)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j}\left(F_{i j}^{l}-P_{i j}^{l}\right)^{2}
$$

So this loss function want to minimize distance of each value of same position between content layer and generate layer.

- $G^{l} \in \mathcal{R}^{N_{l} * N_{l}}$
- $G_{i j}^{l}$ is the inner product between the vectorized feature maps $i$ and j in layer $l$ (Gram matrix of style layer)

$$
G_{i j}^{l}=\sum_{k} F_{i k}^{l} F_{j k}^{l}
$$

- $A_{i j}^{l}$ is same with $G_{i j}^{l}$ but it is from content image.

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{l}=\frac{1}{4 N_{l}^{2} M_{l}^{2}} \sum_{i, j}\left(G_{i j}^{l}-A_{i j}^{l}\right)^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {style }}(\vec{a}, \vec{x})=\sum_{l=0}^{L} w_{l} E_{l}
\end{gathered}
$$

They have thought the style information is hide on correlation but I can't understand.

## Painting style transfer

The differential of each loss function are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text {content }}}{\partial F_{i j}^{l}}= \begin{cases}\left(F^{l}-P^{l}\right)_{i j} & \text { if } F_{i j}^{l}>0 \\
0 & \text { if } F_{i j}^{l}<0,\end{cases} \\
\frac{\partial E_{l}}{\partial F_{i j}^{l}}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{N_{l}^{2} M_{l}^{2}}\left(\left(F^{l}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(G^{l}-A^{l}\right)\right)_{j i} & \text { if } F_{i j}^{l}>0 \\
0 & \text { if } F_{i j}^{l}<0 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

And the total loss is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text {total }}(\vec{p}, \vec{a}, \vec{x})=\alpha \mathcal{L}_{\text {content }}(\vec{p}, \vec{x})+\beta \mathcal{L}_{\text {style }}(\vec{a}, \vec{x})
$$

- $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are learning rate.

- $\lambda$ is learning rate.
- At first, $\vec{x}$ is white noise image.
- Not learning weights, learning $\vec{x}!!!!$


## Result



## Bonus

## Thank you!



